

**ATTAR TEST REPORT NUMBER: 14/8501**

The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards. Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025. Accreditation Number: 2735

3 December 2014

Total Pages: 2**DRY FLOOR FRICTION SLIP RESISTANCE**

Job No: M14/8501

| | | | |
|---|---|--------------|--------------------------------|
| Prepared for: | Mirotone Pty Ltd 21 Marigold Street REVESBY NSW 2212 | | |
| Attention: | Ian McGregor | | |
| Test Site: | ATTAR, Unit 1, 64 Bridge Road, Keysborough. | | |
| Test Date: | 3 December 2014 | | |
| Test Specimens, Size and Quantity: | Timber panel applied with three (3) coats of Naturoil 1440 Sports Topcoat, 1000x590 mm, 1 off supplied. | | |
| Sampling and Direction of Test: | Sampling conducted by client. Test direction parallel with grain of timber. | | |
| Test Personnel: | Marcus Braché | | |
| Preparation: | Washed with pH neutral detergent, rinsed with water, then dried. | | |
| Fixed/Unfixed: | Unfixed | | |
| Air Temperature: | 24°C | | |
| Test Equipment: | Tortus Floor Friction Tester; Tortus Model Mk III (with integral printer), Serial No: 318. | | |
| Test Standard: | AS 4586: 2013 Slip resistance classification of new pedestrian surface materials – Appendix B. | | |
| Slider Rubber: | Slider 96 Batch No. 54 | | |
| Classification Criteria: | Refer to Classification Criteria, attached as Appendix 1. | | |
| Dynamic Coefficient of Friction | Run 1 | Run 2 | Mean Rounded to 0.05 |
| | 0.86 | 0.95 | 0.90 |
| Classification: | D1 | | |

These results apply only to the specimens tested and it is recommended that before selection of flooring or paving materials the effect of service conditions, including maintenance procedures and wear on their slip-resistance be checked.

NOTE: Any specimens supplied will be disposed of in two (2) months time, unless otherwise instructed.

ATTAR

Marcus Braché
Senior Engineering Technician
Approved Signatory

This report may not be reproduced except in its entirety.

Page 1 of 2

ATTAR TEST REPORT NUMBER: 14/8501

3 December 2014

Total Pages: 2




Figure 1. Timber panel applied with three (3) coats of Naturoil 1440 Sports Topcoat.

APPENDIX 1

**CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA – AS 4586 - 2013****Slip resistance**

Pedestrian surfaces shall be classified using at least one of the combinations given in Table 1 and shall be reported as noted.

When this Standard is used for the testing and classification of the slip resistance of carpets (or carpet-like products) in potentially wet locations, the carpet shall be tested using the wet pendulum test method set out in Appendix A, and shall be reported as such.

When this Standard is used for the testing and classification of the slip resistance of carpets in dry locations, the test shall be carried out in the dry condition using the pendulum test method set out in Appendix A modified in accordance with Paragraph A2, and shall be reported as such.

The 'dry floor friction' test method in Appendix B is not suitable for heavily profiled surfaces or carpets.

Compliance

The surface shall comply with the stated classification for the test method and test rubber that is nominated and declared by the manufacturer or supplier.

The testing and classification of new pedestrian surface materials shall be in accordance with one or more of Tables 2, 3, 4 or 5.

TABLE 1**TEST AND CLASSIFICATIONS COMBINATIONS**

| Test conditions | Test method | Classification table to be used |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| Wet pendulum | Appendix A | Table 2 |
| Wet pendulum and dry floor friction | Appendices A and B | Tables 2 and 3 |
| Dry floor friction | Appendix B | Table 3 |
| Wet-barefoot inclining platform | Appendix C | Table 4 |
| Oil-wet inclining platform | Appendix D | Table 5 |

TABLE 2**CLASSIFICATION OF PEDESTRIAN SURFACE MATERIALS ACCORDING TO THE AS 4586 WET PENDULUM TEST**

| Class | Pendulum SRV (see Note 1) | |
|-------|---------------------------|-----------|
| | Slider 96 | Slider 55 |
| P5 | >54 | >44 |
| P4 | 45-54 | 40-44 |
| P3 | 35-44 | 35-39 |
| P2 | 25-34 | 20-34 |
| P1 | 12-24 | <20 |
| P0 | <12 | |

NOTES:

- 1 While Slider 96 or Slider 55 rubbers may be used, the test report shall specify the rubber that was used.
- 2 It is expected that these surfaces will have greater slip resistance when dry.
- 3 SDV may be calculated by using the tables that are given in Appendix F, and the minimum SRV that is considered appropriate for a level surface (see examples given in Appendix F).

TABLE 3**CLASSIFICATION OF PEDESTRIAN SURFACE MATERIALS ACCORDING TO THE DRY FLOOR FRICTION TEST**

| Classification | Floor friction tester mean value |
|----------------|----------------------------------|
| D1 | ≥0.40 |
| D0 | <0.40 |

TABLE 4
CLASSIFICATION OF PEDESTRIAN SURFACE MATERIALS ACCORDING TO THE WET-BAREFOOT INCLINING PLATFORM TEST

| Classification | Angle, degrees |
|-------------------|---|
| No Classification | $<\alpha_{\text{barefoot}}$ Verification Surface A |
| A | $>\alpha_{\text{barefoot}}$ Verification Surface A $<\alpha_{\text{barefoot}}$ Verification Surface B |
| B | $\geq\alpha_{\text{barefoot}}$ Verification Surface B $<\alpha_{\text{barefoot}}$ Verification Surface C |
| C | $\geq\alpha_{\text{barefoot}}$ Verification Surface C |

TABLE 5
CLASSIFICATION OF PEDESTRIAN SURFACE MATERIALS ACCORDING TO THE OIL-WET INCLINING PLATFORM TEST

| Classification | Angle, degrees |
|-------------------|----------------|
| No Classification | <6 |
| R9 | $\geq 6 < 10$ |
| R10 | $\geq 10 < 19$ |
| R11 | $\geq 19 < 27$ |
| R12 | $\geq 27 < 35$ |
| R13 | ≥ 35 |

Means of demonstrating compliance

Pedestrian surfaces that are classified in accordance with Table 2 and, where appropriate, Table 3 shall meet the following criteria:

- (a) The mean test results shall be as follows:
- (i) For the classifications in Table 2, the mean of the test results shall be—
- (A) within the relevant criteria set out in the table; and
- (B) each individual result shall be equal to or above the lower limit for the classification or, if below the classification, within the mean of the result minus 20%.
- If either criteria is not met, the lot shall be considered to be of lower classification.
- (ii) For Classification D1 in Table 3—
- (A) the mean of the test results shall be equal to or greater than 0.4; and
- (B) each individual slope corrected result shall be equal to or greater than 0.35.
- If either of these criteria is not met, the lot shall be considered to be Classification D0.
- (b) The classification in accordance with Table 2 or 3 shall be determined by—
- (i) selecting and testing at least five specimens at random as specified in Appendices A and B; or
- (ii) carrying out continuous testing and process control in accordance with AS 3942.
- (c) When testing individual lots, if a particular test fails to produce the expected classification it shall be permissible to—
- (i) disregard the first sample, resample a minimum of 10 specimens from the whole lot, retest and apply the criteria to the new sample; or
- (ii) subdivide the lot into smaller lots of different quality, resample, retest and reclassify each of the smaller lots.